Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/511,613	HALL ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Christopher Crutchfield	2466		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>After RCE</u>			
(1) <u>Christopher Crutchfield</u> .	(3)			
(2) Timothy Meagher, Reg. No. 39,302.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 17, 20 and 25 August 2010	Time: 4:00 PM, 5:00 PM and 3:00 PM, respectively			
Type of Interview:				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A				
Claims discussed: 4				
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The Examiner proposed and the Applicant accepted an amendment to claim 11 to clarify the term "control device" did not intend to invoke 112, 6th paragraph by adding a recitation of structure (i.e. a processor) to the claim. Amendments to clams 1 and 11 were also made to correct minor informalities.				
Part III.				
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>				
/Christopher Crutchfield/ Examiner, Art Unit 2466	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if :	appropriate)	